### From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

# PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL
OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION
OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OR CHAPTER II
OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rules 44bis.3(c) and 72.2)

STERNAGEL, FLE ISCHEST CARRENT FRESCHER, An den Gärten 7
51491 Overath ALLEMAGNE

1 1. Okt. 2006

eingegangen/received

Date of mailing (day/month/year)
05 October 2006 (05.10.2006)

Applicant's or agent's file reference
P20713W0

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/052097

Applicant

ALUMINAL OBERFLĀCHENTECHNIK GMBH & CO. KG et al

l.	Transmittal	of the	translation	to	the applicant.	
----	-------------	--------	-------------	----	----------------	--

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report or
patentability (Chapter I).

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

### 2. Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the designated or elected Offices.

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following designated or elected Offices requiring such translation:

KR

The following designated or elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EC, EE, EG, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned within the applicable time limit (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Yolaine Cussac

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 Facsimile

Facsinule No. +41 22 338 82 70

# TRANSLATION PATENT COOPERATION TREATY POT INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference		<del>//</del>		
P20713WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/EP2004/052097	09.09.2004	18.10.2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or C25D5/12, C25D5/36,				
Applicant				
	NTECHNIK GMBH & CO. F	KG		
	reliminary examination report, established by o the applicant according to Article 36.	this International Preliminary Examining Authority		
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	of 6 sheets, inc	luding this cover sheet.		
3. This report is also accompanied by	y ANNEXES, comprising:			
a. (sent to the applicant of	and to the International Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:		
		been amended and are the basis for this report and/or ee Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative		
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyon the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplementa Box.				
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s))				
4. This report contains indications re	elating to the following items:	×		
Box No. I Basis of	f the report			
Box No. II Priority				
Box No. III Non-est	ablishment of opinion with regard to novelty. i	inventive step and industrial applicability		
Box No. V Reasons				
	documents cited			
Box No. VII Certain	defects in the international application			
	observations on the international application			
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion	of this report		
Date of subligation of the definance	Date of completion	· ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized officer			
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.			

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/052097

Box	k No. I	Basis of the report	
1.		h regard to the language, this report is based on the internatio cated under this item.	nal application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise
		This report is based on translations from the original langua which is the language of a translation furnished for the purp	
		international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))	•
		publication of the international application (Rule 12.4	)
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/	(or 55.3)
2.	rece		report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the e referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to
		the international application as originally filed/furnished	
	$\boxtimes$	the description:	
		pages <u>1-11</u>	as originally filed/furnished
		pages*	received by this Authority on
		pages*	received by this Authority on
	$\boxtimes$	the claims:	
		nos. <u>1–16</u>	as originally filed/furnished
		nos.*	as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
		nos.*	received by this Authority on
		nos.*	received by this Authority on
		the drawings:	
		sheets	as originally filed/furnished
			received by this Authority on
		sheets*	received by this Authority on
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) see Supplem	nental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
		the description, pages	
		the claims, nos.	
		the drawings, sheets/figs	
		the sequence listing (specify):	
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amend they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fi	diments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since led, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
		the description, pages	
		the claims, nos.	
		the drawings, sheets/figs	
		the sequence listing (specify):	
*	If`ite	em 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "sup	erseded."

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/052097

Dan Ma M	n		2004/052097
Box No. V		tatement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial a d explanations supporting such statement	applicability;
I. Statemen	nt		
Nove	lty (N)	Claims 1-16	YES
		Claims	
Inven	ative step (IS)	Claims 1-16	YES
		Claims	
Indus	trial applicability	(IA) Claims 1-16	YES
		Claims	
2 Citations		- (Pul. 70.7)	
	and explanation		
1.	Disci	osures:	
	ml. '		(5)
		report refers to the following document	
		in the search report; the numbering w	ill be
	retai	ned throughout the proceedings:	
			_
		WO 02/088434 A (ALUMIPLATE INCORPORATE	
		FISCHER, JUERGEN, K., S) 7 November 200	J2
		(2002-11-07)	
		EP-A-1141447 (MEHLER KLAUS DIETER;	
		STUDIENGESELLSCHAFT KOHLE MBH (DE); LE	
		03 July 2002 & WO 00/32847 A (MEHLER K	
		DIETER; STUDIENGESELLSCHAFT KOHLE MBH	(DE);
		LEHMKUHL) 8 June 2000	
	D3:	LANDAU U ET AL: "ALUMINIUM, ALUMINIUM-	
	i	MAGNESIUMLEGIERUNGEN UND WEISSE BRONZE	
	!	GALVANOTECHNISCH ABGESCHIEDENE WERKSTO	FFE MIT
		INTERESSANTEN GEBRACHSEIGENSCHAFTEN	
		ALUMINIUM" ERZMETALL, RIEDERER VERLAG	GMBH.
		STUTTGART, DE, Vol. 54, No. 7/8,	
	-8-	September 2001 (2001-09), pages 369-38	0,
	,	XP001043704 ISSN: 0044-2658	
	D4:	US-A-4 778 575 (MAYER ET AL) 18 Octobe	r 1988

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

(1988-10-18)

- D5: EP-A-1 279 751 (ALUMINAL OBERFLAECHTENTECHNIK) 29 January 2003
- D6: DE 31 12 919 A (SIEMENS AG) 7 October 1982 (1982-10-07)
- D7: DE-A-3804303 (STUDIENGESELLSCHAFT KOHLE MBH)
  24 August 1989 & EP-A-0 328 128
- 1.1. Document D1 discloses a workpiece which is made of steel and is coated with aluminium or with an aluminium-magnesium alloy. D1 also discloses pre-treatment, in which a nickel layer can be applied to the substrate. This is used to degrease and clean the substrate. It is unclear whether that layer is still present following the additional pre-treatment steps. The coating is galvanic (pages 2-5, 6, 8-10).
- 1.2. Documents D2 to D5 each disclose a workpiece which is made of steel and is coated with aluminium or with an aluminium-magnesium alloy, but without any intermediate layer. The coating is galvanic (D2: abstract, claims 1-3, 12-15; D3: page 375; D4: D5: abstract, paragraph [0022]).
- 1.3. Document D6 discloses a workpiece which is made of steel and is coated with aluminium, with an intermediate layer of cobalt or a cobalt alloy.
  The coatings are galvanic (drawing; claims 1-4).
- 1.4. Document D7 discloses a workpiece which is made of steel and is coated with aluminium, with an

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

intermediate layer of iron, nickel, copper or cobalt, or alloys thereof, or a tin-nickel alloy. The coatings are galvanic (abstract, claims 1, 7, 9).

# 2. Novelty:

The present application meets the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claims 1 to 16 is novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

## 3. Inventive step:

- 3.1. Document D1 cannot be used to argue against inventive step, since the nickel coating solves a different problem and is not an intermediate layer.
- 3.2. Document D2, which is regarded as the closest prior art, discloses a coated workpiece, from which the subject matter of claim 1 differs in that an intermediate layer is applied.

The current invention can therefore be considered to address the problem of protecting the workpiece from corrosion using a layer that bonds well.

That problem is solved by the application of an intermediate layer.

A person skilled in the art wishing to apply a more solid alloy, more particularly an Al/Mg

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/052097

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

alloy, would not find the information pertaining to an intermediate layer in either D6 or D7, in which, regarding material properties, a soft galvano-aluminium coating is better applied to a steel substrate by means of an intermediate layer. In addition, there is nothing to indicate to a person skilled in the art that simply the additional use of a metallic intermediate layer would alter the relatively large degree of hardness of an Al/Mg layer applied thereon such that the entire coating would have significantly greater elasticity, thereby also increasing resistance to corrosion.

The subject matter of all the claims thus involves an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)) and therefore the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) are satisfied.

3.3. D3 to D5 cannot be considered the closest prior art and be combined with D6 or D7 anymore than D2.